

From: Rochlin, Kevin
Sent: Wednesday, December 11, 2013 5:01 PM
To: Richard Poeton
Cc: 'Greutert, Ed [USA]'
Subject: RE: Gamma Cap Report

Categories: 11-19 to 1-10 2014

Rick,

I assume you are referring to the boilerplate at the bottom of the title page. If so, that is not a problem. Go ahead and cite the doc.

Kevin

From:

Kevin Rochlin | Superfund Remedial Project Manager
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency | Region 10
Office of Environmental Cleanup
1200 6th Avenue, Suite 900, ELC-111 | Seattle, WA 98101
(206) 553-2106
(206) 553-0124 (fax)
rochlin.kevin@epa.gov

From: Richard Poeton [<mailto:rtpoeton@msn.com>]
Sent: Wednesday, December 11, 2013 5:40 AM
To: Rochlin, Kevin
Cc: 'Greutert, Ed [USA]'
Subject: Re: Gamma Cap Report

I'm working up some comments on the FMC Gamma Cap field work report. I expect them to be significant and to point to a lot of MARSSIM and DQO design work for the RD/RA. I should have them done on the 17th.

Two things:

-Given that the Gamma Cap Study did not go so well, I think an RD/RA treatability study on an acre or two would be a good demonstration of post-cap monitoring before proceeding to full RA. Do you want me to include this recommendation in my comments or should that kind of thing be left to the RPM?

-A good example of recent application of MARSSIM to problems similar to FMC comes from Region 6. Weston Solutions put together a Removal Assessment Report for Homestake Mining Company in 2012. It includes clear and detailed info on how to apply MARSSIM that could be useful to FMC. It is on the EPA R6 website at http://www.epa.gov/region6/6sf/newmexico/homestake_mining/homestake-removal-assessment-report.pdf. It is also marked as for EPA use only, but since it is on the EPA website I think it is public domain. Unless you think otherwise, I plan on referring to it in my comments.

Hope the frost on the Space Needle melts soon.